

# Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2024 - 2025 School Report



Richmond County
Richmond County Technical Career Magnet
School

#### 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

#### 1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <a href="Planning and Preparation webinar">Planning and Preparation webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

#### Leadership Team

|                 | Position/Role             | Name              |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal                 | Kyshone M. Hunter |
| Team Member # 2 | School Guidance Counselor | Tiffany Cox       |
| Team Member # 3 | MTSS Facilitator          | Frevionsta Moss   |
| Team Member # 4 | Instructional Specialist  | Patrice Joshua    |
| Team Member # 5 | Math Department Chair     | Kisha Walton      |
| Team Member # 6 |                           |                   |
| Team Member # 7 |                           |                   |

#### Additional Leadership Team

|                  | Position/Role | Name |
|------------------|---------------|------|
| Team Member # 1  |               |      |
| Team Member # 2  |               |      |
| Team Member # 3  |               |      |
| Team Member # 4  |               |      |
| Team Member # 5  |               |      |
| Team Member # 6  |               |      |
| Team Member # 7  |               |      |
| Team Member # 8  |               |      |
| Team Member # 9  |               |      |
| Team Member # 10 |               |      |

#### 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

#### 1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

#### Stakeholders

|                 | Position/Role       | Name              |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Assistant Principal | Dr. Cameron Henry |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Assistant Principal | Ashlee Crawford   |
| Stakeholder # 3 | Media Specialist    | Carol Nimmons     |
| Stakeholder # 4 |                     |                   |
| Stakeholder # 5 |                     |                   |
| Stakeholder # 6 |                     |                   |
| Stakeholder # 7 |                     |                   |
| Stakeholder # 8 |                     |                   |

How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process?

Parents and students will be invited to a Title I Input Meeting at the beginning of the school year. Parents will also be able to provide feedback at the end of the year "Annual Review" meeting. Leadership Team members will provide meaningful input after analyzing data throughout the school year as well as at the end of the school year.

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Coherent Instructional System webinar">Coherent Instructional System webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

| Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.  |          |
|   | Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.  |          |
| 2. Operational  | A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.  Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.    | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.  Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.             |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.  Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. |          |

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.  These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.  These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.   |          |

| Instruction Standard 1 | -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning                             |   |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary           | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.      |   |
|                        | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.                      |   |
| 2. Operational         | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.         | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging            | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident         | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. |   |

| Instruction Standard 2 | -Creates an academically challenging learning environment   |   |
|------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary           | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and | ✓ |
|                        | creative thinking.  |   |
| 2. Operational         | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).   |   |
| 3. Emerging            | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.  |   |

| Instruction Standard 3 curriculum standards | -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria align   | ed to |
|---|---|-------|
| 1. Exemplary                                | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels. |       |
| 2. Operational                              | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.  | ✓     |
| 3. Emerging                                 | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.   |       |
| 4. Not Evident                              | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  | _     |

| Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). |          |
| 2. Operational  | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).                          | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |

| Instruction Standard 5 | -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students   |   |
|------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary           | Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).  Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices. |   |
| 2. Operational         | Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging            | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.  |   |

| Instruction Standard 6 | -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning   |          |
|------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary           | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). |          |
| 2. Operational         | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).                                   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging            | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |

| Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets |  | ng targets |
|---|--|------------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  |            |
|   | Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets.  |            |
| 2. Operational  | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  |            |
| 3. Emerging   | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.  | ✓          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable. |            |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor progress |   | their own |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. |           |
| 2. Operational   | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  |           |
| 3. Emerging  | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |           |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   | ✓         |

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. |          |
|   | The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made.   |          |
| 2. Operational  | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.   | <b>√</b> |

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.   |   |
|  | Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment.         |   |
| 2. Operational   | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.         | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. |   |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor stuprogress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices |   | tudent   |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes.  The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, |          |
|  | and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices.   |          |
| 2. Operational   | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.   |          |
| 3. Emerging  | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.   | <b>√</b> |
| 4. Not Evident   | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.   |          |

| Assessment Standard | Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction   |          |  |
|---------------------|---|----------|--|
| 1. Exemplary        | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. |          |  |
| 2. Operational      | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.  |          |  |
| 3. Emerging         | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.   | <b>√</b> |  |
| 4. Not Evident      | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.   |          |  |

| Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress or the required standards |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. |          |
| 2. Operational  | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.                         |          |
| 3. Emerging   | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.                         | <b>√</b> |
| 4. Not Evident  | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.   |          |

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Effective Leadership webinar"><u>Effective Leadership webinar</u></a> for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 1 | -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff  |   |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary          | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  | ✓ |
|                       | The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders. |   |
| 2. Operational        | Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.   |   |
| 3. Emerging           | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident        | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  |   |

| Leadership Standard 2 | -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learn  | ing      |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary          | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.    |          |
|                       | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. |          |
| 2. Operational        | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.                            | <b>√</b> |
|                       | The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results.                                 |          |
| 3. Emerging           | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.               |          |
| 4. Not Evident        | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.  |          |

| Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instand professional learning practices |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.      |          |
|   | The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.   |          |
| 2. Operational  | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.            |          |
| 3. Emerging   | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.     | <b>√</b> |
| 4. Not Evident  | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | _        |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. |          |
| 2. Operational  | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.  |          |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving |   | ng       |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational   | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.   |          |
| 3. Emerging  | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.   |          |

| Leadership Standard 6 learning | -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused   | l on student |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary                   | A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.  The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan. | <b>√</b>     |
| 2. Operational                 | A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.  The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.            |              |
| 3. Emerging                    | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.  |              |
| 4. Not Evident                 | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.  |              |

| Leadership Standard 7 -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations. |   |
|   | A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.  |   |
|   | Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.   |   |
| 2. Operational  | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.  | ✓ |
|   | Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.  |   |
| 3. Emerging   | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.                       |   |
|   | Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.   |   |
|   | Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.   |   |

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual      | ✓ |
|   | needs is provided to teachers and other staff.                                |   |
| 2. Operational  | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual   |   |
|   | needs.  |   |
| 3. Emerging   | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual |   |
|   | needs.  |   |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process |   |          |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.  | <b>√</b> |
|  | The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.   |          |
|  | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.  |          |
| 2. Operational   | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.  |          |
|  | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.   |          |
| 3. Emerging  | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | _        |
| 4. Not Evident   | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.   |          |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.  The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on                         | ✓ |
|   | increasing student performance.  This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.   |   |
| 2. Operational  | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.  The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance. |   |
| 3. Emerging   | A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.  The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.  |   |

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed |   |          |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.  Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational   | he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.  Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.                                   |          |
| 3. Emerging  | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.   |          |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.  School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment. | <b>~</b> |
| 2. Operational  | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored.  |          |

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.                                  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.  In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.   |          |

| Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing |  | providing a |  |
|--|--|-------------|--|
| safe, clean, and invitin   | safe, clean, and inviting learning environment   |             |  |
| 1. Exemplary   | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.  A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed. | <b>√</b>    |  |
| 2. Operational   | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.  The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.  |             |  |
| 3. Emerging  | Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.  The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.  |             |  |
| 4. Not Evident   | Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.  The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.   |             |  |

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Professional Capacity webinar">Professional Capacity webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | <b>√</b> |
|  | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.  |          |
| 2. Operational   | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  |          |
| 3. Emerging  | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.  |          |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a varie of data |   | of a variety |
|--|---|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).  Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. |              |
| 2. Operational   | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).   | <b>√</b>     |
| 3. Emerging  | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.   |              |
| 4. Not Evident   | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.   |              |

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational   | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  |   |
| 3. Emerging  | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.  |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.  |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learneeds of the staff |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).  Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.  Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.          | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.   |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.  Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational   | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.  |   |
| 3. Emerging  | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.   |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff pand student learning |   | practices |
|---|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively.  Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning. |           |
| 2. Operational  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely.   |           |
| 3. Emerging   | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically.   | <b>√</b>  |
| 4. Not Evident  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever.  |           |

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Family and Community Engagement webinar">Family and Community Engagement webinar</a> for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's KIDS COUNT for additional data.

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational  | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  |   |
| 3. Emerging   | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.   |   |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.  Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational   | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.   |          |
| 3. Emerging  | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.   |          |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.  Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational   | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.  |          |
| 3. Emerging  | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.   |          |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).   | ✓ |
|   | Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). |   |
| 2. Operational  | The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.   |   |
|   | Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).               |   |
| 3. Emerging   | The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.   |   |
|   | Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.  |   |
|   | Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.   |   |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategic home that will enhance academic achievement |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. |          |
| 2. Operational   | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  |          |
| 3. Emerging  | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  | <b>√</b> |
| 4. Not Evident   | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  |          |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational   | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.                        |          |
| 3. Emerging  | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.   |          |

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the <a href="Supportive Learning Environment webinar">Supportive Learning Environment webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.      |   |
|  | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.                      |   |
| 2. Operational   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.         | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. |   |

| Instruction Standard 2 | -Creates an academically challenging learning environment  |          |
|------------------------|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary           | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. |          |
| 2. Operational         | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging            | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor progress |   | their own |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. |           |
| 2. Operational   | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  |           |
| 3. Emerging  | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |           |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   | <b>√</b>  |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school.  These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated.  |   |

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community |   | is and a |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | ✓        |
| 2. Operational   | Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.           |          |
| 3. Emerging  | Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.   |          |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.  The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational  | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.   |   |
| 3. Emerging   | Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.  | _ |

| School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. | <b>✓</b> |
| 2. Operational  | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.   |          |

| School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and sta |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.  | ✓ |
|   | The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.            |   |
| 2. Operational  | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.     |   |
| 3. Emerging   | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. |   |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process |   |          |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.  |          |
|  | The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.   |          |
|  | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.  |          |
| 2. Operational   | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.  | <b>√</b> |
|  | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.   |          |
| 3. Emerging  | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | _        |
| 4. Not Evident   | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.   |          |

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

#### 2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

What perception data did you use? [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]

Our team used the GA Health Survey, USHA Data, GMAS Data for Spring 2024, Infinite Campus Reports, Guidance Department Survey, Student Survey Data.

What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")

The perception data tells me that students feel safe overall in school and that they are placed in an environment where they feel that they can succeed. The data also tells me that there is still work to be done in the area of strengthening relationships between teachers and students to help reduce stress linked to individual student's academic progress.

What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)

We used student participation data in activities, clubs, arts and skills conferences.

What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What did you do for whom?")

The process data tells me that although progress has been made, students welcome the addition of more activities to participate in at school.

| What achievement data did you use?        | GMAS, SAT/ACT, AP.  |
|---|---|
|   |   |
| What does your achievement data tell you? | The achievement data tells me that Content Mastery is still a major area of concern in order to increase student achievement. Instructional planning and delivery must also be monitored and supported in order to improve this area. SAT/ACT data tells me that a change in instructor may be needed in order to increase participation and academic success on the SAT/ACT. |
|   |   |
| What demographic data did you use?        | Infinite Campus and USHA Data.  |
|   |   |
| What does the demographic data tell you?  | The demographic data tells me that achievement levels are consistent across demographics.   |

#### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the <a href="Identifying Needwebinar">Identifying Needwebinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Coherent Instructional:Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Analysis of Spring 2024 GMAS data indicates that there is still room for growth in academic achievement in the Proficient and Distinguished categories. This observation suggests that there is still a need to establish SIP goals geared towards the delivery of research-based instructional strategies designed to increase student learning. Student classroom academic data and standardized test data are not completely aligned.

Effective Leadership:Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Data indicates that Leadership needs to be more consistent in coupling electronic instructional feedback (i.e. eWalk) with in-person meetings to discuss observations.

Professional Capacity:Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Data suggests that leadership consistently provided support, resources and professional learning opportunities during the 2023-2024 school year. In order to increase the number of students scoring in the Proficient and above category on Spring 2025 standardized assessments, support will continue to be offered during the upcoming school year.

Family and Community
Engagement:Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report.
What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Analysis of Spring 2024 GMAS data shows that although parent engagement opportunities and events at RCTCM increased during the previous school year, additional sessions placing emphasis on "content specific parental support" must be provided at Curriculum Nights during the 2024-2025 school year.

#### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

#### Supportive Learning

Environment:Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Analysis of Spring 2024 GMAS data shows that students and teachers were provided with a supportive learning environment as well as the tools and resources to deliver engaging, varied, research-based instructional strategies to improve student achievement. The data also shows that there is still an opportunity to foster a supportive learning environment by investing in professional learning for teachers on Emotional Intelligence and the impact that it has on student achievement.

Demographic and Financial:Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

TBD

Student Achievement:Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Trends in student feedback data indicate that the students felt supported and respected in their efforts to overcome academic, social and personal challenges.

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Strengths | Student IEP's are implemented and actively monitored throughout the   |
|-----------|---|
|           | school year by building and district stakeholders. Student IEP's were |
|           | also taken into consideration and adhered to when planning other      |
|           | school events and activities.   |

#### NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <a href="Identifying Need webinar">Identifying Need webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

| Challenges | The data does not indicate any challenges for the 2023-2024 school |
|------------|--|
|            | year.  |

#### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

## 3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

#### Overarching Need # 1

| Overarching Need                                | Increasing resources and tools for teachers to deliver engaging and rigorous lessons to increase the percentage of students scoring in the Proficient and Above category on GMAS. |
|---|---|
| How severe is the need?                         | High  |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | Better  |
| Can Root Causes be Identified?                  | Yes   |
| Priority Order                                  | 1   |

| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

#### Overarching Need # 2

| Overarching Need                                | Increasing training on Emotional Intelligence for faculty and staff in an effort to provide a more supportive learning environment and to foster more positive relationships between students, teachers, parents, administrators, contributing professionals and all other educational stakeholders. |
|---|--|
| How severe is the need?                         | Low  |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | No Change  |
| Can Root Causes be Identified?                  | Yes  |
| Priority Order                                  | 3  |

| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

## NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### Overarching Need #3

| Overarching Need                                | Student agency will be embedded in the school culture and clear expectations must be established. |
|---|---|
| How severe is the need?                         | High  |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | No Change   |
| Can Root Causes be Identified?                  | Yes   |
| Priority Order                                  | 2   |

| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

#### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

## 3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - Increasing resources and tools for teachers to deliver engaging and rigorous lessons to increase the percentage of students scoring in the Proficient and Above category on GMAS.

#### Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed    | Teachers must be equipped with the proper tools, resources and training to  |
|--------------------------------|---|
|                                | deliver rigorous and engaging lessons.                                      |
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes   |
| contributing cause or symptom  |   |
| This is something we can       | Yes   |
| affect                         |   |
| Impacted Programs              | IDEA - Special Education  |
|                                | School and District Effectiveness   |
|                                | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged          |
|                                | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program                      |
|                                | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, |
|                                | Principals and other School Leaders   |
|                                | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth |
|                                | Program   |
|                                | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment                  |
|                                | Others:   |

| A 1 11.1              |          |
|-----------------------|----------|
| LAdditional Responses |          |
| Additional Responses  |          |
|                       | <u> </u> |

Overarching Need - Increasing training on Emotional Intelligence for faculty and staff in an effort to provide a more supportive learning environment and to foster more positive relationships between students, teachers, parents, administrators, contributing professionals and all other educational stakeholders.

#### Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed    | Building healthier student-teacher relationships.                           |
|--------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes   |
| contributing cause or symptom  |   |
| This is something we can       | Yes   |
| affect                         |   |
| Impacted Programs              | School and District Effectiveness   |
|                                | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged          |
|                                | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program                      |
|                                | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, |
|                                | Principals and other School Leaders   |
|                                | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment                  |

| Additional Responses                  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |

Overarching Need - Student agency will be embedded in the school culture and clear expectations must be established.

#### Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed    | Policies, procedures and literature emphasizing student accountability is not consistently embedded in the school culture. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes  |
| contributing cause or symptom  |  |
| This is something we can       | Yes  |
| affect                         |  |
| Impacted Programs              | IDEA - Special Education   |
|                                | School and District Effectiveness  |
|                                | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program   |
|                                | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers,  |
|                                | Principals and other School Leaders  |
|                                | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment   |

| Additional Responses |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|



# School Improvement Plan 2024 - 2025



Richmond County
Richmond County Technical Career Magnet
School

## SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

## 1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| District                          | Richmond County   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| School Name                       | Richmond County Technical Career Magnet School                              |
| Team Lead                         | Kyshone M. Hunter   |
| Federal Funding Options to Be     | Consolidation of Funds – Fund 150: Consolidation of State/Local and Federal |
| Employed (SWP Schools) in         | Funds   |
| this Plan (Select all that apply) |   |
| Select the Funds that the LEA     | Title I, Part A   |
| anticipates will be consolidated  | Title I, Part A Parent and Family Engagement set-aside                      |

| Fac      | Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|
|          | Free/Reduced meal application   |  |  |
| <b>√</b> | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY                     |  |  |
|          | Other (if selected, please describe below)  |  |  |

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.1 Overarching Need # 1

#### Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified | Increasing resources and tools for teachers to deliver engaging and rigorous |
|--------------------------------|--|
| in CNA Section 3.2             | lessons to increase the percentage of students scoring in the Proficient and |
|                                | Above category on GMAS.  |
| Root Cause # 1                 | Teachers must be equipped with the proper tools, resources and training to   |
|                                | deliver rigorous and engaging lessons.                                       |
| Goal                           | During the 24-25 school year, RCTCM will increase the number of students     |
|                                | scoring in the Proficient and above category on Georgia Milestones by 5%.    |

| Action Step                          | Teacher will deliver research-based instructional strategies to increase student engagement and achievement. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources                      | Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding   |
| Subgroups                            | N/A  |
| Systems                              | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity   |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Eleot Observations, TKES Observations, lesson plan analysis.   |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness  | Student progress grades, benchmark data analysis.  |
| Position/Role Responsible            | Principal, Assistant Principals, Department Chairs.  |
| Timeline for Implementation          | Monthly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Augusta Technical College, Helm's College, Augusta University, Fort Eisenhower |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    | Cyber Battalion Mentors.   |
| Community based                 |  |
| organizations, or any private   |  |
| entity with a demonstrated      |  |
| record of success is the LEA    |  |
| implementing in carrying out    |  |
| this action step(s)?            |  |

| Action Step                          | Math and ELA teachers will utilize IXL as an additional resource to identify the academic needs of students. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources                      | Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding   |
| Subgroups                            | N/A  |
| Systems                              | Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Supportive Learning Environment                                    |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | School-wide Intervention Calendar with IXL dates identified.   |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness  | IXL assessment data.   |
| Position/Role Responsible            | Principal, Assistant Principals, Department Chairs.  |
| Timeline for Implementation          | Yearly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Helms College, Augusta Technical College, Augusta University, Fort Eisenhower |
|---------------------------------|---|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    | Cyber Battalion.  |
| Community based                 |   |
| organizations, or any private   |   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |   |
| record of success is the LEA    |   |
| implementing in carrying out    |   |
| this action step(s)?            |   |

| Action Step                            | RCSS Teaching and Learning Department will provide professional learning on content specific, research-based instructional strategies. |
|--|--|
| Funding Sources                        | Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding   |
| Subgroups                              | N/A  |
| Systems                                | Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation   | Professional Learning Meeting Agendas.   |
| Method for Monitoring<br>Effectiveness | Eleot Observations, Collaborative Planning Meeting Agendas.  |

| Position/Role Responsible   | RCSS Teaching and Learning Department |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly                               |

| What partnerships, if any, with | Augusta University, RESA, RCSS Central Office Teaching and Learning |
|---------------------------------|---|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    | Department Leaders  |
| Community based                 |   |
| organizations, or any private   |   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |   |
| record of success is the LEA    |   |
| implementing in carrying out    |   |
| this action step(s)?            |   |

| Action Step                             | RCTCM will demonstrate mastery of content standards by presenting/displaying artifacts and exemplars at RCTCM Curriculum Nights during the 2024-2025 school year. |
|---|---|
| Funding Sources                         | Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding  |
| Subgroups                               | N/A   |
| Systems                                 | Coherent Instruction Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring<br>Implementation | Curriculum Night Photos   |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness     | EOY Parent Surveys  |
| Position/Role Responsible               | Parent Facilitator Designee, Department Chairs, Teachers.   |
| Timeline for Implementation             | Quarterly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with | N/A |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |     |
| Community based                 |     |
| organizations, or any private   |     |
| entity with a demonstrated      |     |
| record of success is the LEA    |     |
| implementing in carrying out    |     |
| this action step(s)?            |     |

| What partnerships, if any, with | N/A |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |     |
| Community based                 |     |
| organizations, or any private   |     |
| entity with a demonstrated      |     |
| record of success is the LEA    |     |
| implementing in carrying out    |     |
| this action step(s)?            |     |

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.2 Overarching Need # 2

## Overarching Need

|                    | Increasing training on Emotional Intelligence for faculty and staff in an effort to |
|--------------------|---|
| in CNA Section 3.2 | provide a more supportive learning environment and to foster more positive          |
|                    | relationships between students, teachers, parents, administrators, contributing     |
|                    | professionals and all other educational stakeholders.                               |
| Root Cause # 1     | Building healthier student-teacher relationships.                                   |
| Goal               | During the 24-25 school year, we will decrease student stress levels associated     |
|                    | with academic achievement and performance by 5%.                                    |

| Action Step                          | Faculty and staff will receive recommendations/affirmations/strategies on developing Emotional Intelligence in an effort to foster more positive relationships with students. |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources                      | Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding  |
| Subgroups                            | N/A   |
| Systems                              | Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Email   |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness  | GA Health Survey Data Analysis; Panorama Data Analysis  |
| Position/Role Responsible            | Principal - Kyshone Hunter; Assistant Principals -Ashlee Crawford and David Yates. School Counselor -Tiffany Cox  |
| Timeline for Implementation          | Monthly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with | N/A |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |     |
| Community based                 |     |
| organizations, or any private   |     |
| entity with a demonstrated      |     |
| record of success is the LEA    |     |
| implementing in carrying out    |     |
| this action step(s)?            |     |

| Action Step                          | Teachers will call/schedule conferences with parents of students who are failing courses prior to progress report distribution. Calls will be logged in Infinite Campus. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources                      | Consolidated Funding   |
| Subgroups                            | N/A  |
| Systems                              | Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Review of Parent Contact Statistics; Sign in Sheets.   |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness  | Student progress reports analysis.   |
| Position/Role Responsible            | Teachers & Administrators  |
| Timeline for Implementation          | Monthly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.3 Overarching Need # 3

#### Overarching Need

| Ove  | erarching Need as identified | Student agency will be embedded in the school culture and clear expectations      |
|------|------------------------------|---|
| in C | CNA Section 3.2              | must be established.  |
| Roo  | ot Cause # 1                 | Policies, procedures and literature emphasizing student accountability is not     |
|      |                              | consistently embedded in the school culture.                                      |
| Goa  | al                           | During the 24-25 school year, student agency will increase by students            |
|      |                              | participating in "Data Talks/Academic Goal Setting" with teachers once per month. |

| Action Step                 | Students will analyze their benchmark data and set goals for the subsequent benchmark assessments. Teachers will allocate time for goal setting and notate in their lesson plans. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources             | Title I, Part A   |
|                             | Consolidated Funding  |
| Subgroups                   | N/A   |
| Systems                     | Coherent Instruction  |
|                             | Effective Leadership  |
|                             | Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Method for Monitoring       | Review of teacher lesson plans. Analysis of Student Goal Sheets   |
| Implementation              |   |
| Method for Monitoring       | Benchmark Data Analysis; EOG & EOC Assessment Results.  |
| Effectiveness               |   |
| Position/Role Responsible   | Students & Teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

#### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

#### 3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

#### Required Questions

Advice was solicited by student survey, teacher and staff survey as well as parent survey.

2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.

Identified ineffective, out-of-field and/or inexperience teachers will be provided with mentoring, coaching, Dept. Chair support, Central Office support and if applicable a Professional Development Plan.

3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).

Math and ELA will be addressed by utilizing IXL as an additional resource to help identify and address the needs of all students. All teachers will be expected to utilize research-based instructional strategies during lesson planning and instructional delivery.

4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria to rank all students.

N/A

#### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

## 3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

| Require | d Ques | tions |
|---------|--------|-------|
|---------|--------|-------|

| 5. If applicable, describe how the school  | N/A |
|--|-----|
| will support, coordinate, and integrate    |     |
| services with early childhood programs at  |     |
| the school level, including strategies for |     |
| assisting preschool children in the        |     |
| transition from early childhood education  |     |
| programs to local elementary school        |     |
| programs.                                  |     |

6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and lncreased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.

The Guidance Department will continue to invite representatives from colleges, technical schools, military branches and local businesses to RCTCM to share information with high school students in preparation for their postsecondary education. Students will continue to have access to increased AP courses, Dual Enrollment, career counseling, student interest surveys and Work-Based Learning opportunities.

7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.

The school will continue to utilize Spotlight points to reinforce positive behavior. The school will also use a progressive discipline plan to address student behavior.

#### ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

8. Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan.